PATENT



, IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| Patent application | |
|--|---|
| d | inventor(s) |
| for | |
| | Title of Invention |
| | OR |
| in re application of: Billy Slaba | ugh, et al. |
| Application No.: 10 /723,417 | Group Art Unit: 3673 |
| Filed: 11/25/2003 | Examiner: unknown |
| For: METHODS FOR PREPARING | S SLURRIES OF COATED PARTICULATES |
| Commissioner for Patents | • |
| P.O. Box 1450 | |
| Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 | |
| BEFORE MAILING OF FIRS CERTIFICATION UNE (When using Express Mail, th | MONTHS OF FILING OR T OFFICE ACTION (37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)) DER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) and 1.10° DER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) and 1.10° DER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) and 1.10° DER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)) |
| I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, | this correspondence is being: MAILING |
| Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 | ice in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O |
| 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) | 37 G.F.R. § 1.10 * |
| LE Will suilclerk postage as met visas man. | Mailing Label No |
| TI | RANSMISSION |
| ☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trade | emark Office, (703) |
| | 10-201 |
| | Signature Charlet |
| Date: \$10 05 | |
| | Tammy Knight |

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Transmittel of Information Disclosure Statement Within Three Months of Filing or Before Mailing of First Office Action [6-3]—page 1 of 3)

Best Available Copy

(type or print name of person certifying)

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. 1.98(b):

- (1) Each U.S. patent listed in an information disclosure statement must be identified by inventor, patent number, and issue date.
- (2) Each U.S. patent application publication listed in an information disclosure statement shall be identified by applicant, patent application publication number, and publication date.
- (3) Each U.S. application listed in an information disclosure statement must be identified by the inventor, application number, and filing date.
- (4) Each foreign patent or published foreign patent application listed in an information disclosure statement must be identified by the country or patent office which issued the patent or published the application, an appropriate document number, and the publication date indicated on the patent or published application.
- (5) Each publication listed in an information disclosure statement must be identified by publisher, author (if any), title, relevant pages of the publication, date, and place of publication.
- WARNING: No extension of time can be had under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (a) or (b) for fiting an IDS. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(f).
- NOTE: The "filing date of a national application" under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b) has two possible meanings. Where the filing is a direct one to the United States Patent & Trademark Office, the filing is defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) as "the date on which: (1) A specification containing a description pursuant to § 1.71 and at least one claim pursuant to § 1.75; and (2) any drawing required by § 1.81(a), are filed in the Patent and Trademark Office in the name of the actual inventor or inventors as required by § 1.41." 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)(1). On the other hand, an international application that enters the national stage occurs when the applicant has filed the documents and fees required by 35 U.S.C. § 371(c) within the periods set forth in § 1.494 or § 1.495. 35 U.S.C. § 371(c) requires the filing of the following: (1) the basic national fee; (2) a copy of the international application, unless already sent by the international Bureau, and optionally an English translation into English if made in another language; (4) an oath or declaration; and (5) a translation into English of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report, if such annexes were made in another language. The optional Items must be submitted later, with surcharges. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)(2).

IDENTIFICATION OF TIME OF FILING THE ACCOMPANYING INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The Information disclosure statement submitted herewith is being filed within three months of the filing date of the application or date of entry into the national stage of an international application or before the mailing date of a first Office action on the merits, whichever event occurs last. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b).

- NOTE: "No certification or fee is due when the filling is made within the above time period, it is advisable to ensure that no Office action has been mailed if the disclosure statement is delayed until after three months from filling."
- NOTE: "An information disclosure statement will be considered to have been filed on the day it was received in the Office, or on an earlier date of a mailing if accompanied by a properly executed certificate of mailing under 37 C.F.R. 1.8, or Express Mail certificate under 37 C.F.R. 1.10. An Office action is mailed on the date indicated in the Office action." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 38). See also § 609, M.P.E.P., 8th Edition.
- NOTE: "The term 'national application' includes continuing applications (continuations, divisions, continuations-in-part) so three-months will be measured from the actual filing date of an application as opposed [sic] to the effective date of a continuing application." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement Within Three Months of Filing or Before Mailing of First Office Action [6-2]—page 2 of 3)

Best Available Copy

NOTE: "An action on the marite means an action which treats the patentability of the claims in an application, as opposed to only formal or procedural requirements. An action on the marite would, for example, contain a rejection or indication of allowability of a claim or claims rather than just a restriction requirements (37 C.F.R. 1.142) or just a requirement for additional fees to have a claim considered (37 C.F.R. 1.16(d)). Thus, if an application was filed on Jan. 1 and the first Office action on the marite was not mailed until six months later on July 1, the examiner would be required to consider any proper information disclosure statement filed prior to July 1." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

WARNING: "A petition for suspension of ection to allow applicant time to submit an information disclosure statement will be denied as falling to present good and sufficient reasons, since 37 C.F.R. § 1.97 provides adequate recourse for the timely submission of prior art for consideration by the examiner." Notice of July 8, 1992 (1141 O.G. 63). But see § 103(b) and (c), limited suspension of action in a continued prosecution application (CPA) filed under § 1.53(d) and in a request for continued examination (RCE) under § 1.114.

Reg. No.: 28,626

Tel. No.: (580) 251-3125

Customer No.:

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Robert A. Kent
(type or print name of practitioner)

P.O. Box 1431

P.O. Address

Duncan, OK 73536-0440

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement Within Three Months of Filing or Before Mailing of First Office Action [6-3]—page 3 of 3)

| PTO-1449 | Application No. 10/723,417 | Applicant(s) Billy Slabaugh, et al. | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Application | Docket Number 2003-IP-010444U1 | Group Art Unit 3673 | Filing Date 11/25/2003 | |

| | 7005 | U.S | S. PATENT DOCUMENTS | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|----------|
| INAPATI | OCUMENT NO. | ISSUE/PUB. DATE | NAME | CLASS | SUBCLASS | FILII DA | |
| | 3,857,444 | 12/31/74 | Copeland | 166 | 276 | 02/02 | 2/73 |
| | 4,291,766 | 09/29/81 | Davies et al. | 166 | 276 | 06/02/80 | |
| - | 4,838,352 | 06/13/89 | Oberste-Padtberg et al. | 166 | 291 | 11/19 | 9/87 |
| | 4,842,072 | 06/27/89 | Friedman et al. | 166 | 295 | 07/2 | 5/88 |
| | 4,936,385 | 06/26/90 | Weaver et al. | 166 | 288 | 10/30 | 0/89 |
| | 5,547,023 | 08/20/96 | McDaniel et al. | 166 | 280 | 05/2 | 5/95 |
| | 5,712,314 | 01/27/98 | Surles et al. | 521 | 41 | 08/09 | 9/96 |
| | 6,152,234 | 11/28/00 | Newhouse et al. | 166 | 403 | 06/10/98 | |
| | 6,543,545 B1 | 04/08/03 | Chatterji et al. | 166 | 381 | 10/2 | 7/00 |
| | US 2002/0070020 A1 | 06/13/02 | Nguyen | 166 | 295 | 12/0 | 8/00 |
| | US 2005/0045326 A1 | 03/03/05 | Nguyen | 166 | 278 | 08/2 | 6/03 |
| | | FOR | EIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS | S | | | |
| INIT. | DOCUMENT NO. | DATE | COUNTRY | CLASS | SUBCLASS | TRANSLATION | |
| HALL. | DOCOMENT NO. | DATE | | | | Yes | No |
| | | NC NC | DN-PATENT DOCUMENTS | | | J | <u> </u> |
| INIT. DOCUMENT (Including Author, Title, Source, and Pertinent Pages) | | | | | Date | | |

Best Available Co

| EXAMINER | DATE CONSIDERED |
|----------|-----------------|
| | |

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to the applicant.